


Summary of NES Quality Management 2017

Annual Review of Progression

- Part of NES Quality Management framework
- Light touch questionnaire for both trainees and supervisors
- Responses logged in TURAS system
- Inform NES of potential training issues



Supervisor Questions	
How would you rate the trainee's overall progress for this reporting period?	Poor/ Acceptable/Good
Have there been periods of out-of-programme during this reporting phase for this trainee?	Yes/ No
Do you anticipate periods of out-of- programme in the next phase for this trainee?	Yes/ No
Are you confident that the trainee will complete the programme as planned?	Poor/ Acceptable/Good
Additional Comments	

Additional Comments	
Trainee Questionnaire	
Are you confident about your overall progress in this reporting period?	Poor/ Acceptable/Good
Do you feel your training centre is supportive of your development?	Yes/ No
Are you confident that you will complete the programme as planned?	Poor/ Acceptable/Good
Would you recommend your training to others?	No/Maybe/Yes

May we contact you if we feel your responses need to be explored

Outcomes

- Response rate was 73% of 101 requests
- Some returns incomplete
- Overall, 94% of supervisors rated trainees progress as Good. None were rated as poor
- In only 1 case, the trainee was not expected to complete the programme as planned due to missed deadlines throughout
- Comments such as 'Achieving excellent progress' and 'performing well' very common

Responses

- 72% of trainees were confident about their overall progress, while 23 thought it 'acceptable'. The remainder rated their progress as poor
- All trainees felt their training centres to be supportive
- Less than 1% of trainees had concerns about completing the programme as planned and only 1 trainee would definitely not recommend their training to others.



[1] NES Healthcare Science Specialty Lead

further?

[2] NES Healthcare Science Programme Director

